Presentation of Repot

Sri K. PRABHAKAR (Deputy Minister for Social Welfare).—I beg to present:

The Report of the Joint Select Committee on the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Report of Joint Select Committee on Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1962 is presented.

Before we go to the next business, I have certain points to clarify...

† **Sri S. SIVAPPA** (Shravanabelagola).—Sir, I want to know why the Report of the Committee on the Land Revenue Code is presented by a Deputy Minister and not by the Minister concerned. The Revenue Minister is touring in Turuvekere for the election campaign. Elections are more important for him than Parliamentary work. This is the state of affairs. It is unfortunate that the report is being presented by a Deputy Minister who has nothing to do with the portfolio of Revenue Minister.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is a question of mere presentation. It is simple enough. If it is a question of piloting the Bill, I can very well understand.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—If the Revenue Minister was not interested in the work of the Assembly, the Bill could have been introduced at a later date.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will deal with it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—The word 'Ministers' includes 'Deputy Ministers'. Still, there is a slight difference.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The main difference is that they are two different individuals.

(*laughter.*)

Member's RReprentation.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ ಕಾಶೀಮಠ್ (ಶಿರಹಟ್ಟಿ).—ಸರಕಾರದವರು ನಾವು ಕಳುಹಿಸುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನೇ ಕಳಿಸುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಧಾರವಾಡದ ಸ್ಕೂಲು ಬೋರ್ಡು ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ಉತ್ತರವೇ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನು ಬೇಗನೆ ಬರಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು

Mr. SPEAKER.—With regard to these questions, I am also .not feeling quite happy. I must say that we used to have 15 questions a day but the number of questions answered on the Floor of the House was not large. On the other hand, I feel that they are now less. In the Lok Sabha and other Legislatures, the procedure that prevails need not necessarily govern us here. We have our own difficulties and advantages. For the last few days, I have been feeling that the number of questions answered has not been quite adequate. Last time I had told the Treasury Benches that greater attention should be paid to the supply of replies to questions and I believe the Hon'ble Chief Minister as well as the Minister for Finance assured us on that occasion that they would send adequate replies to questions.

Sri S. RAJAGOPAL (K. G. F.).—Sir, while you are making important remarks concerning the Government, we find the Ministers indulging in personal talks or reading files.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—They are giving a deaf ear to whatever the Hon'ble Speaker says.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not think their ears are deaf.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—In spite of your serious directions, the Treasury Benches have taken matters lightly. I do not know when the Treasury Benches will learn to take to Assembly work more seriously. Work here should be more precious to them than any other work. If they pay a deaf ear to the directions of the Chair, what is the purpose of our meeting here. There are half-a-dozen Deputy Ministers and one or two Ministers present. Even after your remarks, they do not give questions and answers to the House. I do not know what the purpose of our meeting here is. With all humility I must say that they are treating this House with scant respect. I am forced to say that this Ministry is callous and are not living up to the requirements of Parliamentary Democracy. It has become a mockery.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Not only do I find the Treasury Benches not furnishing enough answers. I have also something to say about the manner in which the Leader of the Opposition takes away the force of what I have to say. If that be so, all that I can say is, let us leave matters as they are.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I had to say all this because the Treasury Benches do not care for your directions.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಎನ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ (ಗುಂಡ್ಲುಪೇಟೆ).—ನಾನು 8-10 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಕೇರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಕಂಡಿಷನ್ನುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಎಷ್ಟೋ ದಿನಗಳಾದರೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇನ್ನು ಸೆಷನ್ನು ಮುಗಿದಮೇಲೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೇನು ಬೆಲೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I very much appreciate the importance of the Hon'ble Member's Questions. Let her give me a copy of the questions. I will look into it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಕೇಶವ ಮೂರ್ತಿ (ಗಂಡಸಿ).—ನಾನು ಹಾಸನದ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು Short Notice ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will deal with it on Monday.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾವೋಜಿ (ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಹದಿನೈದು ದಿವಸದೊಳಗೇ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕೆನ್ನುವ ನಿರ್ಬಂಧವಿದೆ. ಅದೇ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is exactly what I was mentioning when the Leader of the Opposition was making his comments.

Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, I am told the Opposition Leader made a statement that I am at Turuvekere. I was answering questions in the other House. The two Houses meet simultaneously and I had to be there. I wish the Leader of the Opposition had ascertained the facts before he had made the statement in question.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Was he not at Turuvekere last evening.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Am I concerned with where he was yesterday evening ?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—At the time the Bill had to be introduced, he was not here. I am correct to that extent.

Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA.—I said I was in the other House. I cannot be at two different places at the same time. I have no Deputy Minister.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—It is most unfortunate that he has no Deputy. He must have one. (*laughter.*)

CHAIR'S RULING

re: 1. Presence of Police Officers in the precincts of the House.
2. Use of abusing language during debate.

Mr SPEAKER.—There are three matters which have been held over. One matter is with regard, to the appearance or the presence of Police officers in the Lounge and certain embarrassment or inconvenience caused to the Hon'ble Member Sri A. Krishna Shetty. I said that Sri A. Krishna Shetty had met me late in the evening that day at about 7 O'clock when I had gone to the General Hostel Building and I discussed the matter with him. I have gathered certain facts. I have ascertained from the Government and I have ascertained from the the Legislature Secretariat. The facts that come to my knowledge are that there were one or two persons that came and met Sri Shetty. I do not know what exactly transpired, nor am I going to analyse it. I am making the position very clear so far as the Government is concerned and in particular, the Home Department. They should see that no Member of the Legislature is inconvenienced to the least extent by either the people in the regular force, in regular dress or any other dress. If they are the officers of the Police force, so far as their presence in the Lounge is concerned, I am unable to confirm that they were there. I do not want to deny what the Hon'ble Member has said. But, I have issued strict instructions, that on no condition should they come to the Lounge or any precincts of the House without my knowledge and without disclosing to me the purpose to see whether it is justifiable. I will adhere to the rule that no person of the Police office, unless belonging to the Watch and Ward of of the Legislature Secretariat, should come to the Lounge. But, shonld any contingency arise, when a Member's relation or a person who comes to see a Member unconnected with any political purpose as an ordinary citizen, I do not know whether that also should be excluded. If the Members want me, they could tell me. So far as two officers, are concerned, one Inspector, I belive the Hon'ble Member said and the other the Sub-Inspector, on enquiry I learnt that they came to the Secretariat to ascertain whether the Hon'ble Minister for Education wou d be available and if so at what time. I believe, it was in connection with the students' strike. So far as Police officers going to the Hon'ble Ministers or any Member of the Cabinet or any Secretariat other than the Legislature Secretariat is